### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORIT .			
To: JEREMY J. CURCURI BROOKS KUSHMAN 1000 TOWN CENTER TWENTY-SECOND FLOOR SOUTHFIELD, MI 48075	PCT  NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION		
	(PCT Rule 44.1)		
	Date of mailing (day/month/year) 0 1 OCT 2008		
Applicant's or agent's file reference CCCI0140PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below		
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year) 05 April 2005 (05.04.2005)		
PCT/US05/11481	Dui:12-1-08/		
Applicant COMCAST CABLE HOLDINGS, LLC			
The applicant is hereby notified that the international sea have been established and are transmitted herewith.	rch report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority		
Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19:  The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claims of the international application (see Rule 46):			
When? The time limit for filing such amendments is normally two months from the date of transmittal of the international search report.			
Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO, 34 chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Facsimile No.: (41-22) 338.82.70.			
For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the	accompanying sheet.		
2. The applicant is hereby notified that no international search report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority are transmitted herewith.			
With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that:			
the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices.			
no decision has been made yet on the protest; the a	applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.		
4. Reminders  Shortly after the expiration of 18 months from the priority date, the international application will be published by the International Shortly after the expiration of 18 months from the priority date, the international application, or of the Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in Rules 90bis. 1 and 90bis. 3, respectively, before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication.			
The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy of such comments to all designated Offices unless an international preliminary examination report has been or is to be established. These comments would also be made available to the public but not before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date.			
Within 19 months from the priority date, but only in respect of some designated Offices, a demand for international permitted with the priority date examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed acts for (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed acts for (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed acts for (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed acts for (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed acts for (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, within 20 months from the priority date.			
a	nths (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19 months.		
See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.	applicable time limits, Office by Office, see the 1 of Application		
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US	Authorized officer		
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents	Hunter B. Lonsberry		
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	Telephone No. 703-305-4700		
Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201 Form PCT/ISA/220 (January 2004)	(See notes on accompanying sheet		

#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## **PCT**

#### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference CCCI0140PCT	FOR FURTHER see Form PCT/ISA/220 ACTION as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.	
International application No. PCT/US05/11481	International filing date (day/month/year) 05 April 2005 (05.04.2005)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year) 05 April 2004 (05.04.2004)
Applicant COMCAST CABLE HOLDINGS, LLC		
This international search report consists  It is also accompanied  1. Basis of the Report  a. With regard to the language, the the international a translation of the of a translation of the sutherized by or notified to the language to the international search repart to any nucleotic conditions.  Certain claims were found the sutherized by or notified to the sut	sheets.  If by a copy of each prior art document cited international search was carried out on the bas application in the language in which it was file the international application into	in this report.  sis of: ed. , which is the language ch (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)) the rectification of an obvious mistake
the text is approved as sub	mitted by the applicant.  ed, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority	vas it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant
may, within one month from	m the date of mailing of this international sear	ch report, submit comments to this Authority.
	published with the abstract is Figure No.	
as suggested by the	e applicant.  Authority, because the applicant failed to sug	gest a figure.
	Authority, because this figure better character	
	published with the abstract.	
b. V I note of the figures is to be	F	

Form PCT/ISA/210 (first sheet) (April 2007)

### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US05/11481

A. CLASS IPC:	SIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER H04N 7/16( 2006.01),9/00( 2006.01),7/173( 2006.01	)		
USPC: According to I	725/1,4,5,25,31,93,116,146 International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both nation	onal classification and IPC		
B. FIELD	S SEARCHED			
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) U.S.: 725/1, 4, 5, 25, 31, 93, 116, 146				
	n searched other than minimum documentation to the e			
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) USPAT, US-PGPUB				
C. DOCL	JMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where ap	propriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.	
Y	WO 01/43418 A2 (SON et al) 14 June 2001 (14/06/20	001), entire document.	1-14	
Y	US 6,637,027 B1 (BRESLAUR et al) 21 October 200	3 (21.10.2003), entire document.	1-14	
Α	US 6,151,626 A (TIMS et al) 21 November 2000 (21.11.2000), entire document.			
Α	WO 00/59203 A (BERTRAM) 5 October 2000 (05.10.2000), entire document.			
Α	WO 01/52543 A1 (BERTRAM) 14 January 2000 (14.01.2000), entire document.			
Α	US 20003/0056210 A1 (JOSHI et al) 20 March 2003 (20.03.2003), entire document.			
Α	US 2002/0129359 A1 (LICHNER) 12 September 200	22 (12.09.2002), entire document.	1-14	
Further	documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.	See patent family annex.		
"A" document	pecial categories of cited documents: defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of	"T" later document published after the inte date and not in conflict with the applic principle or theory underlying the inve	ation but cited to understand the	
•	relevance  plication or patent published on or after the international filing date	"X" document of particular relevance; the considered novel or cannot be conside when the document is taken alone	claimed invention cannot be red to involve an inventive step	
establish specified)		"Y" document of particular relevance; the considered to involve an inventive step with one or more other such document obvious to a person skilled in the art	when the document is combined	
	t referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means		family	
	t published prior to the international filing date but later than the ate claimed	"&" document member of the same patent	,	
Date of the a	ctual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international sear 0 1 0 C T	ch report 2008	
	(31.07.2008) ailing address of the ISA/US	Authorized officer		
Ma	il Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US	Hunter B. Lonsberry		
Cor	mmissioner for Patents			
Ale	). Box 1450 exandria, Virginia 22313-1450	Telephone No. 703-305-4700		
Facsimile No	o. (571) 273-3201			

Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (April 2007)

#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNATIONAL	SEARCHING AUTH	ORITY				
То:			PCT			
JEREMY J. CURC BROOKS KUSHN	1AN		:		•	
1000 TOWN CEN TWENTY-SECON SOUTHFIELD, M	ID FLOOR				ITTEN OPINION OF THE DNAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	
				(PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)		
			4	Date of mailing (day/month/year) 0 1 OCT 2008		
Applicant's or age	Applicant's or agent's file reference			FOR FURTHER ACTION		
CCCI0140PCT				See paragraph 2 below		
International applic	cation No.	Internati	ional filing date (	(day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/US05/11481		05 April	2005 (05.04.200	)5)	05 April 2004 (05.04.2004)	
International Pater	t Classification (IPC)	or both nat	tional classificati	on and IPC		
	716( 2006.01),9/00( 200	06.01) <b>,7/1</b> '	<b>73</b> ( 2006.01)			
USPC: 725/1,4,5 Applicant	5,25,31,93,116,146					
1	LE HOLDINGS, LLC					
COMICAST CABI	E HOLDINGS, LLC					
1. This opinion o	contains indications rel	ating to the	e following item	s:		
Box No. I Basis of the opinion						
Box No. II Priority						
Box N	o. III Non-establ	ishment of	opinion with reg	gard to novelty, inver	ntive step and industrial applicability	
Box N	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention					
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
Box N	o. VI Certain doo	cuments ci	ted			
Box N	o. VII Certain def	ects in the	international ap	plication		
Box N	lo. VIII Certain obs	servations	on the internation	nal application		
2. FURTHER	ACTION					
International Authority oth	Preliminary Examinit	ng Author the IPEA	rity ("IPEA") ex and the chosen	cept that this does IPEA has notified th	be considered to be a written opinion of the not apply where the applicant chooses an all International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) ered.	
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.						
For further op	tions, see Form PCT/I	SA/220.				
3. For further de	tails, see notes to Form	a PCT/ISA	√2 <b>2</b> 0.			
Name and mailing	g address of the ISA/ U	JS	Date of comple	tion of this opinion	Authorized officer	
Mail Stop	PCT, Attn: ISA/US ioner for Patents		31 July 2008 (3		Hunter B. Lonsberry	
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Telephone No. 703-305-4700				Telephone No. 703-305-4700		

Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201
Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2007)

International application No.

PCT/US05/11481

Box No.	I Basis of this opinion
1. With re	gard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
$\boxtimes$	the international application in the language in which it was filed
i	a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).  This opinion has been established taking into account the rectification of an obvious mistake authorized by or notified to this
3. With re	Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43bis.1(a)) egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, this opinion has been shed on the basis of:
a.	type of material
ĺ	a sequence listing
	table(s) related to the sequence listing
b.	format of material
1	on paper
I	in electronic form
C.	time of filing/furnishing
1	contained in the international application as filed.
1	filed together with the international application in electronic form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
5. Additio	nal comments:

Form PCT/ISA/237(Box No. I) (April 2007)

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (April 2007)

International application No. PCT/US05/11481

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
1. Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-14	YES
		NONE	
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	NONE	YES
mvenuve step (18)		1-14	
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claima	1.14	VEO
industrial application (IA)	Claims Claims		YES NO
2. Citations and explanations:			
Please See Continuation Sheet			
	•		
		<del></del>	

International application No. PCT/US05/11481

Supplemental Box In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.
·
V. 2. Citations and Explanations: Claims 1-14 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Son (WO 01/43418) in view of Tims (US 6,151,626)
Regarding claims 1-02, 6-8, Son discloses a method and apparatus for adapting the delivery of content to set top boxes within a heterogeneous information distribution system based upon the capabilities of the STBs. Son discloses storing STB profile information, including STB certification and identification in a provision datastore (figure 1, item 145-CD, figure 3, item 312, page 6, lines 15-24), receiving a customer order at the service provisioning system (figure 3, item 310), notifying a video device manager (i.e. the session controller) about the STB with regards to the STB's capabilities (figure 1, item 145) and delivering a cable operator configuration message from the video device manager to the STB based upon information from the provisioning datastore without essential involvement of the condition access system (page 7, lines 10-12). The session controller sends configuration messages through the forward data channel without involving the transport controller 145. Transport processor 150 provides access to the storage module 125
Son is silent in teaching notifying a billing/conditional access system of a customer order and storing the customer order information.
Tims discloses that it is known to notify a billing/conditional access system of a customer order and storing the customer order information so as to insure the payment of a provide service (figures 1-2, column 2, lines 58-65, column 3, lines 37-50, column 5, lines 1-10, column 6, lines 60-65).
Therefore, modifying Son to utilize notifying a billing/conditional access system of a customer order and storing the customer order information as taught by Tims is not considered as involving an inventive step.
Regarding claims 3-5, and 9, the combination of Son and Tims is silent in teaching storing various types of information as an XML document. Use of storing various types of information as an XML document is well known in the art. Making a modification to the combination of Son of Tims to utilize storing various types of information as an XML is not considered as involving an inventive step.
Regarding claim 10, the combination of Son and Tims is silent in teaching the use of a configuration message including the STB geographic location. The use of a configuration message including the STB geographic location is well known in the art. Making a modification to the combination of Son of Tims to utilize a configuration message including the STB geographic location is not

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Supplemental Box) (April 2007)

considered as involving at inventive step.

International application No. PCT/US05/11481

Supplemental Box In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.
Regarding claim 11, Tims discloses the use of multiple channels (see column 4, lines 26-45).
Regarding claims 12-13, the combination of Son and Tims is silent in teaching that the configuration message was sent utilizing bi-directional unicast/multicast messaging. The use of utilizing bi-directional unicast/multicast messaging is well known in the art. Making a modification to the combination of Son of Tims to utilize bi-directional unicast/multicast messaging is not considered as involving an inventive step.
Regarding claim 14, the combination of Son and Tims is silent in teaching the configuration message being sent in response to a boot time request. Sending a configuration message in response to a boot time request is well known in the art. Making a modification to the combination of Son of Tims to send a configuration message in response to a boot time request is not considered as involving an inventive step.
Claims 1-14 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.
•